



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA REPORT

MEETING DATE: DECEMBER 13, 2016

ITEM NUMBER: 1

SUBJECT: GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION/CITY COUNCIL REORGANIZATION

DATE: December 7, 2016

FROM: CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

PRESENTATION BY: BRENDA GREEN, CITY CLERK

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda Green, City Clerk, (714) 754-5221

RECOMMENDATION:

1. City Council adopt Resolution No. 16-86 to be read by title only and further reading waived: A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA CALIFORNIA, RECITING THE FACTS OF THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION HELD ON NOVEMBER 8, 2016; DECLARING THE RESULTS AND SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS PROVIDED BY LAW; and
2. City Clerk administer the Oath of Office to the newly-elected City Council Members; and
3. City Council reorganize by election of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem.

BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to Costa Mesa Municipal Code Section 2-14, the City's general municipal elections are held in November of even-numbered years, concurrent with the statewide elections. Three council seats, each serving a full term of four years, were available in the General Municipal Election, held November 8, 2016. In addition, eight Measures were placed on the ballot for voter consideration.

The election was consolidated with the Orange County Registrar of Voters. The results of that election have been certified and the certification of the results and Statement of Votes are attached to the resolution as "Exhibit A".

ANALYSIS:

The certified results of the election are considered final and are now forwarded to the City Council for approval. The number of ballots cast in the election was 43,721. The results of the election indicate that the top three (3) candidates having received the most votes are: Sandra L. Genis, John Stephens, and Allan R. Mansoor.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure V reflect that 14,937 were in support of the measure and 24,565 did not support the measure. The measure required a majority vote and therefore is not approved by the voters at the election.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure W reflect that 16,501 were in support of the measure and 22,695 did not support the measure. The measure required a majority vote and therefore is not approved by the voters at the election.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure X reflect that 21,470 were in support of the measure and 17,845 did not support the measure. A majority of the voters voting on Measure X did vote in favor of it and that the Measure was carried.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure Y reflect that 26,132 were in support of the measure and 12,081 did not support the measure. A majority of the voters voting on Measure Y did vote in favor of it and that the Measure was carried.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure Z reflect that 20,506 were in support of the measure and 16,258 did not support the measure. However, Measure Z conflicts with Measure Y, and although Measure Z received a majority vote, Measure Y received a higher majority of votes cast, and therefore is not adopted, except for those provisions that do not conflict with Measure Y.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure AA reflect that 27,098 were in support of the measure and 11,149 did not support the measure. A majority of the voters voting on Measure AA did vote in favor of it and that the Measure was carried.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure BB reflect that 17,591 were in support of the measure and 20,289 did not support the measure. The measure required a majority vote and therefore is not approved by the voters at the election.

The final results of the votes cast for Measure EE reflect that 23,295 were in support of the measure and 12,495 did not support the measure. A majority of the voters voting on Measure EE did vote in favor of it and that the Measure was carried.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

No alternatives have been considered, as it is a State Code requirement that the results, certified by the Board of Supervisors, also be certified by the City Council.

FISCAL REVIEW:

No fiscal review was required for the declaration of results.

LEGAL REVIEW:

Legal has reviewed the resolution and approved as to form.

CONCLUSION:

The election was held and conducted as required by law and the results have been determined. The City Clerk requests that the City Council adopt the attached resolution certifying the results of the election, followed by the oath of office to the newly elected City Council Members, and the City Council reorganization.



BRENDA GREEN
City Clerk



RICHARD L. FRANCIS
Assistant Chief Executive Officer

ATTACHMENTS: 1 [Resolution Declaring the Results of the General Municipal Election Held November 8, 2016.](#)